

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Final Office Action dated April 15, 2005. The Final Office Action rejected pending claims 1-12. Claims 1 and 7 are amended. Claims 1-12 are now pending.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 37 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Hunter (U.S. Patent Publication U.S. Patent Publication US2001/0047426).

Hunter does not teach or suggest the invention as claimed in amended claims 1 and 7. For example, amended claim 1 teaches retrieving instructions for assembling, for the mobile device, the content available on the URL, and converting the network event, including the content, into a wireless format for the mobile device.

Hunter does not teach assembling and converting content, and then transmitting it to the mobile device. Hunter discloses device based routing, where the primary content file varies based on various parameters of the client (retrieving device). See Hunter, [12]. Hunter further discloses profile routing, where the client passes the look-up URL to a resolution server, which uses user data and rules database to return a target URL that addresses content specifically for that user. See Hunter [24]. Thus, Hunter does not assemble the content available on the URL or convert the network event and the content into a wireless format, as claimed in claims 1 and 7. Instead of transmitting the converted content to the mobile user, Hunter merely routes the user to the proper content based on the characteristics of the retrieving device. See Hunter [36].

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of the independent claims 1 and 7 be withdrawn. Because the dependent claims related thereto include further limitations in addition to those recited in their corresponding independent claim, Applicants

Application No. 09/858,355
Amendment dated August 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 15, 2005

believe that all depending claims are also allowable over the cited references of record.
Reconsideration of this rejection on view of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

Application No. 09/858,355
Amendment dated August 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of April 15, 2005

CONCLUSION


In light of the remarks set forth above, Applicants believe that the present application is in form for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any question, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any required fees due in connection with this submission, including petition and extension of time fees, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No. 24286-711).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 15, 2005

By:



Christiana State
Registration No. 52,045

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
(650) 496-4346